UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,254	02/05/2004	Toshiyuki Okubo	1232-5278	8661
	7590 12/26/200 INNEGAN, L.L.P.	8	EXAMINER	
3 WORLD FIN	ANCIAL CENTER		JONES, HEATHER RAE	
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/774,254	OKUBO, TOSHIYUKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HEATHER R. JONES	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	entember 2008					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
· · · · ·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	-					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
<u> </u>	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
·— ·—	s have been received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/23/2008</u> . 13) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 14) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 15) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 16) Other:						
1 ()	,					

Application/Control Number: 10/774,254 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (U.S. Patent 5,933,137) in view of Stam et al. (U.S. Patent 6,850,691) in view of Gortz et al. (U.S. Patent 2006/0109102).

Regarding claim 1, Anderson discloses an image reproduction apparatus comprising: an interface unit connected to a detachable memory configured to store a plurality of image files, each image file having a file structure that includes at least a high-resolution and a low-resolution image, for each image (Fig. 6); a display unit (402) configured to display an image file of the plurality of image files stored in the detachable memory that is connected via the interface unit; an operating unit operated by a user for forwarding an image displayed on the display unit (Fig. 5A); and a control unit configured to cause the display unit to successively display a low-resolution image of the plurality of image files at fast

Application/Control Number: 10/774,254

Art Unit: 2621

speed while the operating unit is in a predetermined operating state, and to display a high-resolution image on the display unit when the operating unit is released from the predetermined operating state (Fig. 11A; col. 13, lines 36-45). However Anderson fails to disclose displaying a high resolution image corresponding to a prior low-resolution image that is a predetermined number of images prior to the latest low-resolution image displayed on the display unit when the operating unit is released from the predetermined operating state, without displaying the prior low-resolution image or a high-resolution image corresponding to the latest low-resolution image, wherein the operating unit comprises a fast-forwarding button or a joystick member, and the predetermined number of images is set depending on a pressure applied by the user on the fast-forwarding button or on the tilt angle of the joystick member.

Page 3

Referring to the Stam et al. reference, Stam et al. discloses an image reproduction apparatus wherein a control causes the display unit to successively display image files at a fast speed while the operating unit is in a predetermined operating state, and to display a second image that is a predetermined number of images prior to the first image displayed on the display unit when the operating unit is released from the predetermined operating state, without displaying the prior images (col. 2, lines 2-9 and 25-34).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have corrected an overshoot when stopping the fast forwarding function as disclosed by Stam et al. with the apparatus

Art Unit: 2621

disclosed by Anderson in order to accommodate for the user's reaction time, the time it takes for the user's command to be sent to the device along with the time it takes for the device to react, and to accommodate for the speed of the fast forward or reverse mode. However, Anderson in view of Stam et al. fail to disclose wherein the operating unit comprises a fast-forwarding button or a joystick member, and the predetermined number of images is set depending on a pressure applied by the user on the fast-forwarding button or on the tilt angle of the joystick member.

Referring to the Gortz et al. reference, Gortz et al. discloses an image reproduction apparatus wherein the operating unit comprises a fast-forwarding button or a joystick member, and the predetermined number of images is set depending on a pressure applied by the user on the fast-forwarding button or on the tilt angle of the joystick member (paragraph [0081] – the pressure sensor selects how fast to fast-forward).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a pressure sensor to adjust the playback speed as disclosed by Gortz et al. in the apparatus disclosed by Anderson in view of Stam et al. in order to make the apparatus more user friendly and versatile.

Regarding claim **2**, Anderson in view of Stam et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the predetermined operating state is a state maintained continuously by the

Art Unit: 2621

operating unit at a predetermined operating position for a predetermined time period (Anderson: Fig. 11A – the searching continues while the navigation button is held down).

Regarding claim **3**, Anderson in view of Stam et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as disclosing an image reproduction apparatus further comprising a setting unit configured to set the predetermined number of images depending on the fast forward speed (Stam et al.: col. 2, lines 25-34).

Regarding claim **5**, Anderson in view of Stam et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as disclosing an image reproduction apparatus further comprising a setting unit configured to set the predetermined number of images depending on a user-specified number (Stam et al: col. 2, lines 35-46 – this device allows two ways for the user to set the predetermined number, one way is to take a test to figure out the user's reaction time and the other way to allow the user to simply set a sensitivity setting).

Regarding claim **6**, Anderson in view of Stam et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the first image is fast forward displayed when the operating unit is not in the predetermined operating state (Anderson: Fig. 11A – the high resolution image is displayed when the navigation button is not being held down).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,254 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 7, this is a method claim corresponding to the apparatus claim 1. Therefore, claim 7 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

Regarding claim 8, this is a computer program claim corresponding to the apparatus claim 1. Therefore, claim 8 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,254 Page 7

Art Unit: 2621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HEATHER R. JONES whose telephone number is (571)272-7368. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs.: 7:00 am - 4:30 pm, and every other Fri.: 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heather R Jones Examiner Art Unit 2621

HRJ December 19, 2008

/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621